#### MILLER & MARTIN PLLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1200 ONE NASHVILLE PLACE
150 FOURTH AVENUE, NORTH

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37219-2433

615/244-9270

FAX 615/256-8197

OR

FAX 615/744-8466

WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER

RECEIVED

2004 APR 30 PM 2: 31

ATLANTA OFFICE

T.R.A. DO CSÉVENTHI DON 1275 PEACHTREE STREET, N E

ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309-3576

404/962-6100

FAX 404/962-6300

E-MAIL ADDRESS mmalone@millermartin.com

MELVIN J MALONE NASHVILLE OFFICE

CHATTANOOGA OFFICE

SUITE 1000 VOLUNTEER BUILDING

832 GEORGIA AVENUE

CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE 37402-2289 423/756-6600

FAX 423/785-8480

April 30, 2004

### VIA HAND DELIVERY

Richard Collier, General Counsel c/o Sharla Dillon, Docket & Records Manager Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee,37243-0505

RE: Tennessee Coalition of Rural Incumbent Telephone Companies and Cooperatives Request for Suspension of Wireline to Wireless Number Portability Obligations Pursuant to Section 251(f)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended

TRA Docket # 03-00633

Dear Mr. Collier:

Enclosed please find one (1) original and thirteen (13) copies of Verizon Wireless's Response in Opposition to Petitioner's Motion for Suspension Pending Proceeding and Motion to Set Procedural Schedule.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please let me know.

Respectfully,

Melvin J. Malone

MJM/cgb Enclosures

CC.

R. Dale Grimes

Timothy C. Phillips

# BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:	)	
	)	
TENNESSEE COALITION OF RURAL	)	
INCUMBENT TELEPHONE COMPANIES	)	<b>DOCKET NO. 03-00633</b>
AND COOPERATIVES REQUEST FOR	)	
SUSPENSION OF WIRELINE TO WIRELESS	)	
NUMBER PORTABILITY OBLIGATIONS	)	
PURSUANT TO SECTION 251(f)(2) OF THE	)	
COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934, AS	)	
AMENDED	)	
	)	
	)	

# VERIZON WIRELESS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR SUSPENSION PENDING PROCEEDING AND MOTION TO SET PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

On April 19, 2004, the Tennessee Coalition of Rural Incumbent Telephone Companies and Cooperatives (the "Rural Coalition") filed Petitioner's Motion for Suspension Pending Proceeding and Motion to Set Procedural Schedule (the "Motion"). Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, on behalf of itself and its affiliates, ("Verizon Wireless") hereby submits its Response in Opposition to Petitioner's Motion for Suspension Pending Proceeding and Motion to Set Procedural Schedule.1

<sup>1</sup> Verizon Wireless filed its Opposition to Suspension Request and Petition for Leave to Intervene in this matter on April 16, 2004

I.

#### **BACKGROUND**

In its Motion, the Rural Coalition "requests a suspension of all number portability obligations pending this proceeding pursuant to the last paragraph of 47 U.S.C. § 251(f)(2)."2 Further, the Rural Coalition "moves the TRA to set a procedural schedule for discovery and resolution on its Amended Petition for Suspension."3

II.

#### **ARGUMENT**

Verizon Wireless incorporates herein by reference the arguments against the Rural Coalition's Amended Petition for Suspension as set forth in Verizon Wireless' Opposition to Suspension Request and Petition to Intervene. The Rural Coalition is seeking to deny customers in Tennessee access to local number portability ("LNP") by re-arguing issues already determined by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"). No petitioner has provided factual showings sufficient to meet its high burden to justify any suspension of the FCC's LNP deadline of May 24, 2004. A careful review of the Amended Petition for Suspension, coupled with an examination of the FCC's rules and orders on local number portability, leads to the conclusion that the Amended Petition for Suspension should be denied.

III.

#### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, both the Motion and the Amended Petition for Suspension should be denied.

2

1560228\_1 DOC

<sup>2</sup> Petitioner's Motion for Suspension Pending Proceeding and Motion to Set Procedural Schedule, In Re Tennessee Coalition of Rural Incumbent Telephone Companies and Cooperatives Request for Suspension of Wireline to Wireless Number Portability Obligations Pursuant to Section 251(f)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended, TRA Docket No 03-00633, p 1 (April 19, 2004) 3 *Id* at 2

Respectfully submitted,

Melvin J. Malone

J. Barclay Phillips
MILLER & MARTIN PLLC 150 Fourth Avenue North 1200 First Union Tower

Nashville, TN 37219-2433

(615) 244-9270

Attorneys for Verizon Wireless

Anne Hoskins Lolita Forbes Counsel for Verizon Wireless

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

	I hereby	y certify	that a	true an	d cor	rect	copy o	f the	foregoing	has	been	serve	d by	U.S.
Maıl,	postage .	prepaid,	this	30 th	_day	of	-A	om/			,	2004,	upon	the
follow	ing:						/							

R. Dale Grimes
Bass, Berry & Sims PLC
AmSouth Center
315 Deaderick Street, Suite 2700
Nashville, Tennessee 37238-3001

Timothy C. Phillips Asst. Attorney General Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, Tennessee 37202

Melvin J. Malone

4